



**University of Iowa
Legal Studies Research Paper Series**

Number 13-24

July, 2013

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

L. Song Richardson

University of Iowa, College of Law

&

Phillip Atiba Goff

UCLA Department of Psychology

This paper can be downloaded without charge from the Social Science Research Network electronic library at:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=2259079>

THE YALE LAW JOURNAL

L. SONG RICHARDSON & PHILLIP ATIBA GOFF

Implicit Racial Bias in Public Defender Triage

ABSTRACT. Despite the promise of *Gideon*, providing “the guiding hand of counsel” to indigent defendants remains unmanageable, largely because the nation’s public defender offices are overworked and underfunded. Faced with overwhelming caseloads and inadequate resources, public defenders must engage in triage, deciding which cases deserve attention and which do not. Although scholars have recognized the need to develop standards for making these difficult judgments, they have paid little attention to how implicit, i.e., unconscious, biases may affect those decisions. There is reason to suspect that unconscious biases will influence public defender decisionmaking due to generations of racial stereotypes specific to stigmatized groups and crime. This Essay urges legal scholars and practitioners to consider how implicit biases may influence the rationing of defense entitlements and suggests ways to safeguard against the effects of these unconscious forces.

AUTHORS. L. Song Richardson is Professor, University of Iowa College of Law. J.D., Yale Law School; A.B., Harvard College. Phillip Atiba Goff is Assistant Professor, University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Psychology. Ph.D., Stanford University; A.B., Harvard College. We are grateful to all those who took the time to provide insightful comments, critiques, and suggestions on this Essay, including Deborah Tuerkheimer, Angela Onwuachi-Willig, Cynthia Ho, Matt Sag, John Bronsteen, Paul Gowder, Stella Elias, Todd Pettys, Emily Hughes, Lauren Sudeall Lucas, Jack Chin, and faculty who attended the workshop at Loyola University Chicago School of Law. Additionally, I thank the organizers of this conference, Nancy Leong, Justin Marceau, and members of *The Yale Law Journal*, particularly Doug Lieb and Ida Araya-Brumskine. Solomon Chouicha, Ernâni Magalhães, and Taylor Whitten provided excellent research assistance. Finally, Professor Richardson must acknowledge Kurt Kieffer for countless conversations and insights. Any errors are our own.



ESSAY CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| INTRODUCTION | 102 |
| I. OVERVIEW OF IMPLICIT RACIAL BIASES | 103 |
| II. PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE | 105 |
| III. IMPLICIT BIASES' EFFECTS ON TRIAGE JUDGMENTS | 108 |
| A. Biased Evaluations of Evidence | 109 |
| B. Biased Interactions | 110 |
| C. Biased Acceptance of Punishments | 113 |
| 1. Implicit Dehumanization | 113 |
| 2. Features-Based Implicit Bias | 114 |
| IV. RECOMMENDATIONS | 115 |
| A. Office Culture | 116 |
| B. Objective Triage Standards | 118 |
| C. Accountability | 119 |
| D. Awareness | 120 |
| E. Intentional Goals | 120 |
| CONCLUSION | 123 |

INTRODUCTION

As we commemorate the fiftieth anniversary of the Supreme Court's landmark decision in *Gideon v. Wainwright*, there is little doubt that its promise to provide "the guiding hand of counsel" to indigent defendants remains largely unrealized.¹ There are many reasons for this, including the lack of political will to fulfill *Gideon's* promise by guaranteeing adequate funding and imposing caseload limits. Although some jurisdictions created public defender (PD) offices to meet the demand for services, attorneys in the majority of these offices handle cases well over the maximum recommended limit.

Scholars rightly bemoan the current state of indigent defense. However, insufficient attention has been paid to the fact that, until much-needed changes in the provision of indigent defense services occur, PDs will engage in triage, the process of prioritizing cases for attention. This reality raises important questions about how to guide attorney decisionmaking in order to avoid ad hoc judgments. We focus on state PDs rather than on assigned counsel and contract systems because state PD offices handle the majority of indigent cases in state criminal proceedings.²

Almost no attention has been paid to the effects that unconscious, i.e., implicit, biases may have on PD decisionmaking.³ This is surprising because over three decades of well-established social science research demonstrates that these biases are ubiquitous and can influence judgments, especially when information deficits exist. Worse, these biases are likely to be particularly influential in circumstances where time is limited, individuals are cognitively taxed, and decisionmaking is highly discretionary—exactly the context in which PDs find themselves. Thus, the domain of PDs and triage presents a rare confluence of factors ripe for the influence of implicit biases (IBs) and consequently deserves far more scholarly treatment than it has received.

We argue that it is critical to consider the probable effects of IBs on PD decisionmaking because zealous and effective advocacy is a scarce resource in the current environment. Thus, the distribution of this resource should not be based on unconscious judgments tied to a defendant's race. In the Parts that follow, we consider how IBs may affect PD decisionmaking and end with some suggestions for safeguarding against their influence. This Essay focuses on the

-
1. *Gideon v. Wainwright*, 372 U.S. 335, 345 (1963).
 2. NAT'L RIGHT TO COUNSEL COMM., CONSTITUTION PROJECT, JUSTICE DENIED: AMERICA'S CONTINUING NEGLECT OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO COUNSEL 53 (2009), <http://www.constitutionproject.org/pdf/139.pdf>.
 3. *But see* Andrea D. Lyon, *Race Bias and the Importance of Consciousness for Criminal Defense Attorneys*, 35 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 755 (2012).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

effects of IBs on black clients because psychological research disproportionately addresses anti-black prejudice. However, IBs are likely to impact judgments of other clients who are similarly stereotyped as dangerous and criminal.

I. OVERVIEW OF IMPLICIT RACIAL BIASES

Implicit social cognition is a branch of psychology that studies how mental processes that occur outside of awareness and that operate without conscious control can affect judgments about and behaviors toward social groups.⁴ These unconscious processes are simply an extension of the way humans think and process information. Briefly stated, our mental processes facilitate decisionmaking by making automatic associations between concepts.⁵ For example, people might automatically associate “doctor” with “hospital” and other related ideas. These associations are linked in our minds because they often occur together.

Implicit racial biases refer to the unconscious associations we make about racial groups. The existence of these biases is consistent with the conclusion of more general research that we automatically and unconsciously use heuristics⁶ to cope with the enormous amount of information that bombards us.⁷ Implicit racial biases facilitate our ability to “manage information overload and make decisions more efficiently and easily”⁸ by “filtering information, filling in missing data, and automatically categorizing people according to cultural stereotypes.”⁹ Like all unconscious mental processes, implicit racial biases

are *unintentional* because they are not planned responses; *involuntary*, because they occur automatically in the presence of an environmental cue; and *effortless*, in that they do not deplete an individual’s limited information processing resources. Those characteristics can be

-
4. L. Song Richardson, *Arrest Efficiency and the Fourth Amendment*, 95 MINN. L. REV. 2035, 2036 (2011).
 5. See John R. Anderson, *A Spreading Activation Theory of Memory*, 22 J. VERBAL LEARNING & VERBAL BEHAV. 261 (1983).
 6. Amos Tversky & Daniel Kahneman, *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*, 185 SCIENCE 1124, 1124 (1974).
 7. For an in-depth discussion of the relationship between implicit biases and heuristics, see L. Song Richardson & Phillip Atiba Goff, *Self-Defense and the Suspicion Heuristic*, 98 IOWA L. REV. 293 (2012).
 8. Sandra Graham & Brian S. Lowery, *Priming Unconscious Racial Stereotypes About Adolescent Offenders*, 28 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 483, 485 (2004).
 9. *Id.*

contrasted with conscious processes, or mental activities of which the person is aware, that they intend, that they volitionally control, and that require effort.¹⁰

The fact that these biases are unconscious means that they “are not consciously accessible through introspection.”¹¹

We use the term implicit racial biases to refer both to unconscious stereotypes (beliefs about social groups) and attitudes (feelings, either positive or negative, about social groups). Implicit stereotypes and attitudes result from the practice we get associating groups (e.g., blacks) with traits (e.g., criminality). This practice stems from repeated exposures to cultural stereotypes that are ubiquitous within a given society. For instance, the cultural stereotype of blacks as violent, hostile, aggressive, and dangerous persists within our society.¹² Merely being aware of these stereotypes, without personally endorsing them as correct, is sufficient to activate unconscious stereotypes in a person’s mind—often resulting in chronic associations that we call implicit attitudes.¹³ The underlying theory is that some groups (again, like blacks) are so commonly associated with negative traits (again, like criminality) that there is a general tendency to categorize the group with anything negative because of the overall negativity of the associations.

IBs can be activated by racial cues present in the environment,¹⁴ including another person’s skin color, age, gender, and accent.¹⁵ Where blacks are concerned, even thinking about crime may be sufficient to activate IBs. This is because the association between blacks and crime is so pervasive that it has become bidirectional—thoughts of criminality unconsciously activate thoughts of blacks, and reciprocally, thoughts of blacks activate thoughts of crime.¹⁶

10. *Id.* (citations omitted).

11. Jerry Kang et al., *Implicit Bias in the Courtroom*, 59 UCLA L. REV. 1124, 1129 (2012).

12. See, e.g., Jennifer L. Eberhardt et al., *Seeing Black: Race, Crime, and Visual Processing*, 87 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 876, 876 (2004); Graham & Lowery, *supra* note 8, at 485; Sophie Trawalter et al., *Attending to Threat: Race-Based Patterns of Selective Attention*, 44 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 1322, 1322 (2008).

13. See Joshua Correll et al., *The Police Officer’s Dilemma: Using Ethnicity To Disambiguate Potentially Threatening Individuals*, 83 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 1314, 1323 (2002).

14. See Justin D. Levinson & Danielle Young, *Different Shades of Bias: Skin Tone, Implicit Racial Bias, and Judgments of Ambiguous Evidence*, 112 W. VA. L. REV. 307, 310 (2010).

15. John A. Bargh, Mark Chen & Lara Burrows, *Automaticity of Social Behavior: Direct Effects of Trait Construct and Stereotype Activation on Action*, 71 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 230, 241-42 (1996).

16. Eberhardt et al., *supra* note 12, at 883.

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

Over three decades' worth of research repeatedly demonstrates that IBs, once activated, influence many of our behaviors and judgments in ways we cannot consciously access and often cannot control.¹⁷ Furthermore, IBs can predict real-world behaviors.¹⁸ For instance, one study found that for every additional standard deviation of added IB, employers were five percent less likely to hire a job applicant with an Arab- or Muslim-sounding name than a white-sounding name.¹⁹

There is ample reason for concern that IBs will affect public defenders' judgments because IBs thrive in situations where individuals make decisions quickly with imperfect information²⁰ and when they are cognitively depleted,²¹ anxious,²² or distracted.²³ As we discuss next, PDs work in precisely this type of environment.

II. PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

Indigent defense is in a state of crisis. Defender offices are chronically underfunded, resulting in crushing caseloads. Most offices do not have caseload limits, and those that do regularly surpass them.²⁴ Thus, despite the existence of dedicated and committed PDs, the lack of adequate resources

-
17. See Kristin A. Lane, Jerry Kang & Mahzarin R. Banaji, *Implicit Social Cognition and Law*, 3 ANN. REV. L. & SOC. SCI. 427 (2007).
 18. See generally Anthony G. Greenwald et al., *Understanding and Using the Implicit Association Test: II. Meta-Analysis of Predictive Validity*, 97 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 17 (2009) (describing studies demonstrating the real-world effects of implicit bias).
 19. See Dan-Olof Rooth, *Implicit Discrimination in Hiring: Real World Evidence* 1, 4-5 (Inst. for the Study of Labor, Discussion Paper No. 2764, 2007), <http://d-nb.info/98812002X/34> (discussing the difference in receiving callback job interviews between applicants with Arab or Muslim names and applicants with Swedish names); see also Marianne Bertrand & Sendhil Mullainathan, *Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination*, 94 AM. ECON. REV. 991, 998 (2004) (demonstrating that job applicants with white sounding names such as Emily or Greg were 50% more likely to receive callback job interviews in Boston and 49% more likely in Chicago than applicants with black-sounding names like Jamal).
 20. Graham & Lowery, *supra* note 8, at 486.
 21. See Olesya Govorun & B. Keith Payne, *Ego-Depletion and Prejudice: Separating Automatic and Controlled Components*, 24 SOC. COGNITION 111 (2006).
 22. See Jennifer A. Richeson & J. Nicole Shelton, *Negotiating Interracial Interactions: Costs, Consequences, and Possibilities*, 16 CURRENT DIRECTIONS PSYCHOL. SCI. 316, 318 (2007).
 23. See Daniel T. Gilbert & J. Gregory Hixon, *The Trouble of Thinking: Activation and Application of Stereotypic Beliefs*, 60 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 509, 509 (1991).
 24. NAT'L RIGHT TO COUNSEL COMM., *supra* note 2, at 67.

coupled with unmanageable caseloads make it virtually impossible to provide zealous and effective representation to every client.

The financial impediments to realizing the promise of *Gideon* must be remedied. In the interim, however, PDs are forced to make difficult resource-allocation decisions among their clients. These resources include an attorney's time and mental energy, as well as purely monetary resources, such as funds to hire experts.

In an ideal world, defenders would have unlimited opportunities to interview and investigate all of the state's witnesses, canvass the neighborhood where the crime occurred, and otherwise thoroughly investigate the case. Furthermore, defenders could conduct legal research, file motions, request funds for expert assistance, and engage in extensive plea negotiations. They also would have the time to develop relationships with clients, which is critical because clients have important information that can aid attorneys in their trial preparation and their arguments for pretrial release, better plea offers, and reduced sentences.

However, most PDs do not work in an ideal environment. They cannot realistically provide each client with zealous and effective advocacy. PDs are forced by circumstances to engage in triage, i.e., determining which clients merit attention and which do not. As one defender put it, "The present M.A.S.H. style operating procedure requires public defenders to divvy effective legal assistance to a narrowing group of clients, [forcing them] to choose among clients as to who will receive effective legal assistance."²⁵

It is no wonder that the provision of indigent defense is often likened to medical triage.²⁶ Similar to hospital emergency rooms, PD offices face demands that far outpace their resources. In order to save time to defend the cases that they find deserving, attorneys may plead out other cases quickly²⁷ or go to trial unprepared.²⁸ This reality means that for most PDs, the question is not "how do I engage in zealous and effective advocacy," but rather, "given that all my clients deserve aggressive advocacy, how do I choose among them?"²⁹

25. *Id.* at 69.

26. See, e.g., Bruce A. Green, *Criminal Neglect: Indigent Defense from a Legal Ethics Perspective*, 52 EMORY L.J. 1169, 1180-81 (2003).

27. *Id.*

28. Adam M. Gershowitz, *Raise the Proof: A Default Rule for Indigent Defense*, 40 CONN. L. REV. 85, 93-94 (2007).

29. We have paraphrased David Luban here. See David Luban, *Are Criminal Defenders Different?*, 91 MICH. L. REV. 1729, 1765 (1993).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

We are unaware of any PD office that has formal triage standards to help attorneys make these difficult judgments. Even if standards do exist, they cannot completely eliminate attorney discretion. For instance, even with standards, attorneys must still make judgment calls about whether to advise clients to take a case to trial or to accept a plea offer, and IBs can affect these evaluations. As a result, two similarly situated clients may be treated differently.

On this point, a comparison to medical triage is illuminating. Hospitals have developed objective triage standards to guide medical decisionmaking.³⁰ Despite this, implicit racial biases still affect decisions. In one study, researchers determined that emergency room doctors' implicit racial biases predicted their treatment decisions.³¹ More specifically, "[a]s physicians' prowhite implicit bias increased, so did their likelihood of treating white patients and not treating black patients" with procedures to abort a heart attack.³² Hence, while objective triage standards are important, they are not a panacea for implicit bias.

Given the similarities between PD offices and emergency rooms, it would be surprising if IBs did not affect defender judgments. One study of the implicit attitudes of death penalty defense lawyers found evidence of IBs.³³ Furthermore, abundant research demonstrates that IBs affect individuals who, like defenders, work in cognitively taxing environments and must make complex decisions under time pressure and in the face of ambiguous facts.³⁴ No group appears immune to the possibility of influence. Moreover, IBs can affect judgments even if PDs are committed to zealous advocacy, and consciously and genuinely reject negative stereotypes and attitudes about marginalized

-
30. Chet D. Schrader & Lawrence M. Lewis, *Racial Disparity in Emergency Department Triage*, 49 J. EMERGENCY MED. 511, 511 (2013).
 31. See Alexander R. Green et al., *Implicit Bias Among Physicians and Its Prediction of Thrombolysis Decisions for Black and White Patients*, 22 J. GEN. INTERNAL MED. 1231, 1231 (2007).
 32. *Id.* The procedure in question, thrombolysis, attempts to break up blood clots and is often used to treat heart attacks. See *Thrombolytic Therapy*, MEDLINEPLUS, <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/007089.htm> (last updated June 1, 2010).
 33. Theodore Eisenberg & Sheri Lynn Johnson, *Implicit Racial Attitudes of Death Penalty Lawyers*, 53 DEPAUL L. REV. 1539, 1545-51 (2004). We are unaware of any study that examines the relative number of hours lawyers spend on black versus white clients nor any that links differences in attorneys' delivery of services to implicit biases.
 34. See, e.g., Eberhardt et al., *supra* note 12; Phillip Atiba Goff et al., "I'm Not a Racist, but I Will [Mess] You Up": Stereotype Threat as a Status-Threat that Provokes Aggressive Responses (2013) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with authors); Phillip Atiba Goff et al., *The Essence of Innocence: Consequences of Dehumanizing Black Children* (2013) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with authors).

populations.³⁵ In other words, individuals' conscious attitudes are weakly related to their implicit attitudes. As such, even the most egalitarian individual can fall victim to IBs absent other precautions.³⁶ In fact, confidence in one's own egalitarianism can be an obstacle to identifying IBs,³⁷ meaning that individuals who became PDs in order to fight racial injustice may be just as susceptible to the effects of IBs as those with less noble motives. Additionally, research suggests that even if PDs are nonwhite themselves, they are in danger of being influenced by IBs.³⁸

Next, we examine how IBs may affect defender judgments. While factors other than IBs can influence triage decisionmaking, this Essay focuses solely on the possible effects of IBs. We will provide some discussion of the research; however, given the constraints of this Essay, we refer the reader to our prior work and other useful sources for an extended discussion of the underlying studies, including their validity, reliability, and effect sizes.³⁹

III. IMPLICIT BIASES' EFFECTS ON TRIAGE JUDGMENTS

Defender triage involves choices about how to allocate precious resources. These triage decisions begin from the moment the PD receives the case. Attorneys likely use a number of different criteria to make these decisions. For instance, they may prioritize cases based upon their assessment of whether the state can prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Or they may expend more effort on cases in which they believe their client is factually innocent.⁴⁰ While

-
35. *But see infra* Section IV.A (discussing the effects of egalitarian attitudes on implicit bias).
36. John F. Dovidio, Kerry Kawakami & Samuel L. Gaertner, *Implicit and Explicit Prejudice and Interracial Interaction*, 82 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 62, 65-67 (2002).
37. See Cynthia M. Frantz et al., *A Threat in the Computer: The Race Implicit Association Test as a Stereotype Threat Experience*, 30 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 1611, 1611-22 (2004).
38. Jerry Kang & Mahzarin R. Banaji, *Fair Measures: A Behavioral Realist Revision of "Affirmative Action"*, 94 CALIF. L. REV. 1063, 1072 (2006) (noting that "[s]eventy-five percent of Whites (and fifty percent of Blacks) show anti-Black bias").
39. E.g., Richardson, *supra* note 4; L. Song Richardson, *Police Efficiency and the Fourth Amendment*, 87 IND. L.J. 1143 (2011); Richardson & Goff, *supra* note 7; see also, e.g., John T. Jost et al., *The Existence of Implicit Prejudice Is Beyond Reasonable Doubt: A Refutation of Ideological and Methodological Objections and Executive Summary of Ten Studies That No Manager Should Ignore*, 29 RES. ON ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. 39, 41 (2009); Kang et al., *supra* note 11; Jerry Kang & Kristin Lane, *Seeing Through Colorblindness: Implicit Bias and the Law*, 58 UCLA L. REV. 465 (2010).
40. We, like others, take the view that public defenders should not focus on cases of factual innocence. See, e.g., Robert P. Mosteller, *Why Defense Attorneys Cannot, but Do, Care About*

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

the science on IBs does not permit us to identify when IB is operating in any particular case, the concern of this Essay is the aggregate probability that, given the prevalence of IBs, PDs' decisions may be frequently affected without correction for the negative consequences. What follows is a discussion of how IBs may influence a host of triage decisions.

A. Biased Evaluations of Evidence

Of necessity, defenders must begin evaluating cases from the moment they are assigned. Their initial evaluations will affect a variety of subsequent decisions important to the ultimate resolution of the case. For instance, after reviewing the discovery, they may decide that expending resources to conduct a fact investigation would be a waste of time because the state's evidence is strong. On the other hand, if attorneys determine that the state's case has weaknesses they can exploit, they may expend more resources to defend the client, including investigating the case and engaging in vigorous plea bargaining. Thus, early appraisals of cases can become self-fulfilling prophecies. While attorneys must evaluate a case's merits, the problem is that IBs may influence these judgments.

Studies consistently demonstrate that IBs can affect evaluations of ambiguous evidence. In one, a researcher activated IBs by subliminally priming subjects with words associated with blacks, such as slavery.⁴¹ Afterwards, the researcher asked subjects to read a vignette about a racially unidentified male and to rate his ambiguous behaviors on a number of traits. The results established that IBs made subjects more likely to rate his behaviors as hostile. Another study utilizing the identical method found that, when IBs were activated, police and probation officers judged a male juvenile as being more culpable and more deserving of severe punishment than when these biases were not activated.⁴² IBs can even influence how mock jurors evaluate evidence that is ambiguous as to guilt. These biases not only caused jurors to be more

Innocence, 50 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 1, 3-4 (2010); Abbe Smith, *Defending the Innocent*, 32 CONN. L. REV. 485, 509 n.100 (2000).

41. Patricia G. Devine, *Stereotypes and Prejudice: Their Automatic and Controlled Components*, 56 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 5 (1989). "Priming refers to the incidental activation of knowledge structures, such as trait concepts and stereotypes, by the current situational context." Bargh, Chen & Burrows, *supra* note 15, at 230. "Subliminal" means that the priming occurs below the level of conscious awareness. Subliminal priming is achieved by a variety of methods and typically involves flashing images on a computer screen so quickly that individuals are unaware they saw anything.
42. Graham & Lowery, *supra* note 8, at 483.

likely “to judge the evidence as tending to indicate criminal guilt,” but “also more likely to believe that the defendant was guilty.”⁴³

When translated to the context of PD triage, these studies suggest that when clients are black or otherwise criminally stereotyped, IBs can influence evidence evaluation, potentially causing PDs to unintentionally interpret information as more probative of guilt. Consequently, PDs may determine that the state will have little difficulty meeting its burden of proof and thus, that the case does not warrant much effort.

The effects of IBs on triage judgments can occur even before defenders meet their clients. At this point, attorneys likely have information about the client’s race. This knowledge, coupled with reading the discovery, is sufficient to activate IBs and their attendant effects.⁴⁴ The consequences for the defendant can worsen once his attorney meets him, particularly if the client has stereotypically African features such as very dark skin.⁴⁵

Furthermore, the influence of IBs will be facilitated if the charge itself is associated with the client’s race. For instance, young black men serve as our mental prototype of the violent street criminal and drug dealer.⁴⁶ If the client is black and the charge involves a drug offense, a judgment of guilt may be cognitively easier to make because of the strong implicit association between blacks and crime.⁴⁷ This gut feeling can then affect the attorney’s views about the merits of the case. Of course, additional investigation might change her initial hunch. However, part of what defenders regulate is how much effort to expend in acquiring additional information. Hence, unless defenders have reason to second-guess their initial impressions, IBs can negatively affect judgments about cases involving clients stereotyped as criminal and crimes stereotyped as black.

B. Biased Interactions

The defender’s initial client meeting is another domain likely to influence triage decisions. For instance, during the meeting, attorneys will inevitably

43. Levinson & Young, *supra* note 14, at 310-11.

44. See *supra* notes 14-19 and accompanying text.

45. See *infra* Subsection III.C.2.

46. See Trawalter et al., *supra* note 12, at 1322.

47. See, e.g., Eberhardt et al., *supra* note 12, at 883 (demonstrating the relationship between blacks and crime); Bernd Wittenbrink et al., *Spontaneous Prejudice in Context: Variability in Automatically Activated Attitudes*, 81 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 815 (2001) (demonstrating the importance of context to the activation of IBs).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

make judgments about client credibility. If lawyers do not credit their clients' version of events, they may not follow up on leads or may forgo possible motions to suppress government evidence. Additionally, clients are important sources of witnesses and exculpatory and mitigating information. If the initial meeting goes badly, however, clients may not be willing to share information that might be crucial to the case, and attorneys may determine that the client will not be cooperative, forthcoming with information, or otherwise helpful to an investigation. Thus, an unpleasant interaction can influence how much time an attorney is willing to devote to a case.

Unfortunately, IBs can adversely affect interactions with negatively stereotyped individuals. First, IBs can influence how attorneys interpret a client's ambiguous behaviors and facial expressions. In one study, identical expressions were deemed more hostile on black faces than on white faces by subjects with high IB.⁴⁸ In another, subjects with more IB assessed hostile expressions as lingering longer on black than white faces.⁴⁹ Research also demonstrates that study participants interpret black actors engaging in ambiguous behaviors as more aggressive than white actors engaging in identical behaviors.⁵⁰ In fact, when the actor is black, white subjects are more likely to attribute the negative behavior to the individual's character rather than to the situation.⁵¹

Second, IBs can negatively influence attorneys' behaviors. In one study, when interacting with negatively stereotyped individuals, people tended to maintain a greater physical distance, make more speech errors, and end the contact earlier than with positively stereotyped individuals.⁵² Furthermore, unconscious stereotypes can cause people to act in accordance with them.⁵³ For instance, research subjects who were subliminally primed with a black male face reacted with more hostility to bad news than those primed with white faces. This occurred, the researchers concluded, because subliminally priming participants with black male faces unconsciously activated the stereotype of

48. Kurt Hugenberg & Galen V. Bodenhausen, *Ambiguity in Social Categorization: The Role of Prejudice and Facial Affect in Race Categorization*, 15 *PSYCHOL. SCI.* 342 (2004).

49. Kurt Hugenberg & Galen V. Bodenhausen, *Facing Prejudice: Implicit Prejudice and the Perception of Facial Threat*, 14 *PSYCHOL. SCI.* 640 (2003).

50. Birt L. Duncan, *Differential Social Perception and Attribution of Intergroup Violence: Testing the Lower Limits of Stereotyping of Blacks*, 34 *J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL.* 590 (1976).

51. *Id.*

52. Carl O. Word et al., *The Nonverbal Mediation of Self-Fulfilling Prophecies in Interracial Interaction*, 10 *J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL.* 109 (1974); see also Dovidio et al., *supra* note 36 (finding that nonverbal behaviors can be affected by implicit bias).

53. Bargh, Chen & Burrows, *supra* note 15, at 241-42.

black hostility, which then influenced the participants' behaviors.⁵⁴ These behavioral effects of IB are problematic because individuals on the receiving end of negative behaviors may respond in kind. However, because the originators of the behavior are unaware of their own role in triggering the unpleasant response, they may attribute the negative behavior solely to the other. This "behavioral confirmation" effect⁵⁵ explains how IBs can adversely influence interactions.

Third, IBs can cause attorneys to treat stereotyped individuals in stereotype-consistent ways. Research demonstrates that stereotypes of blacks as untruthful lead police to push black suspects harder for confessions and to adopt more accusatory interrogation techniques.⁵⁶ This approach may yield more anxious behaviors in suspects that, in turn, may produce perceptions of guilt.⁵⁷

With these studies in mind, imagine the interactions between negatively stereotyped clients and defenders. As a result of IB, the attorney may unconsciously exhibit hostility. The attorney may also be more likely to interpret the client's body language and facial expressions as antagonistic. Furthermore, the attorney's unconscious negative expectations may produce perceptions and attributions consistent with them.

If the client mirrors the attorney's behaviors, this will confirm the attorney's initial negative expectations, and the attorney may attribute this behavior to the client's disposition rather than to the attorney's own behaviors or the situation. The resulting negative interaction can create a vicious cycle of mutual distrust and dislike, adversely affecting the attorney's triage decisions. Spending time with a client can, of course, change these initial impressions. However, an unpleasant initial interaction may reduce the defender's desire to do so.⁵⁸

54. *Id.* at 239.

55. See Mark Chen & John A. Bargh, *Nonconscious Behavioral Confirmation Processes: The Self-Fulfilling Consequences of Automatic Stereotype Activation*, 33 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 541, 542 (1997).

56. Cynthia J. Najdowski, *Stereotype Threat in Criminal Interrogations: Why Innocent Black Suspects Are at Risk for Confessing Falsely*, 17 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'Y & L. 562 (2011).

57. Saul M. Kassin, Christine C. Goldstein & Kenneth Savitsky, *Behavioral Confirmation in the Interrogation Room: On the Dangers of Presuming Guilt*, 27 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 187 (2003).

58. See, e.g., Jason P. Mitchell et al., *Contextual Variations in Implicit Evaluation*, 132 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL. 455, 460 (2003) (finding that implicit attitudes are changeable).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

C. Biased Acceptance of Punishments

We have been discussing the effects that implicit stereotypes and attitudes may have on defender judgments. Here we will consider two additional types of implicit racial bias that can also influence decisionmaking: implicit dehumanization and features-based IB.

1. Implicit Dehumanization

Implicit dehumanization stems from a tendency to unconsciously associate highly stigmatized groups with nonhuman animals. Here, we focus on the unconscious association between blacks and apes because of its long history in our culture.⁵⁹ Remarkably, this unconscious association can be activated even when people are not consciously aware of the association.⁶⁰ However, once triggered, it predicts real-world behaviors.

For instance, Goff and colleagues had subjects watch a video of police viciously beating a suspect. If subjects were subliminally primed with images of apes before watching the video, they were more likely to find the beating justified when the victim was black.⁶¹ However, when the victim was white or when the subjects were not subliminally primed, they did not endorse the beating.

To determine whether implicit dehumanization could predict real-world behaviors, these researchers examined Philadelphia newspaper articles reporting on death-eligible cases, looking for ape-related metaphors. After controlling for factors other than race, they found that “Black defendants who were put to death were more likely to have apelike representations in the press . . . than were those whose lives were spared.”⁶² Importantly, in previous experiments, the researchers found that implicit associations between blacks and apes (but not explicit associations) predicted similar behaviors in the lab, leading to the hypothesis that implicit dehumanization likely contributed to both the media representations of death-eligible defendants and the sentencing judgments.

59. For a discussion of this history, see Phillip Atiba Goff et al., *Not Yet Human: Implicit Knowledge, Historical Dehumanization, and Contemporary Consequences*, 94 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 292, 292-94 (2008).

60. *See id.*

61. *Id.* at 302.

62. *Id.* at 304.

Recently, Goff and colleagues built on these findings in a juvenile justice context.⁶³ They found that the more individuals unconsciously associated blacks with apes, the less innocent they thought black children suspected of a crime were. Worse yet, implicit dehumanization predicted racial disparities in the violent treatment of children by police officers. When the researchers compared police officers' actual use-of-force history against juveniles with their implicit dehumanization score—the implicit association between blacks and apes—they found that police officers who held the association more strongly were also more likely to use force against black as opposed to white children.

Taken together, these studies raise concerns that defenders may be more accepting of higher sentencing recommendations for black versus white clients and, thus, less likely to negotiate aggressively for lower sentences or to conduct mitigation investigations. While the effects of implicit dehumanization on PDs have yet to be demonstrated, the fact that implicit dehumanization shapes other actors' behaviors in criminal-justice-related settings suggests that defenders are probably not immune.

2. Features-Based Implicit Bias

Research demonstrates that individuals with more stereotypically black features (i.e., darker skin, broader nose, and fuller lips) are unconsciously judged to be more dangerous and culpable than others.⁶⁴ One study demonstrated the effect of features-based IB on outcomes in death penalty cases. After controlling for a wide range of factors, researchers found that fifty-seven percent of black defendants in the half of the sample determined to have more stereotypically black features received death sentences, compared to twenty-four percent in the other half of the sample. The effect only appeared when the victim was white.⁶⁵

In another study, researchers examined whether “the degree to which . . . inmates manifested Afrocentric features” would predict sentence length after controlling for race, criminal history, and the seriousness of the crime.⁶⁶ Their results were troubling. When comparing white defendants to each other, they

63. Goff et al., *supra* note 34.

64. See, e.g., Irene V. Blair et al., *The Influence of Afrocentric Facial Features in Criminal Sentencing*, 15 PSYCHOL. SCI. 674, 676-77 (2004) (discussing how Afrocentric features significantly correlate with harsher sentences).

65. Jennifer L. Eberhardt et al., *Looking Deathworthy: Perceived Stereotypicality of Black Defendants Predicts Capital-Sentencing Outcomes*, 17 PSYCHOL. SCI. 383, 384 (2006).

66. Blair et al., *supra* note 64, at 676.

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

discovered that those with more stereotypically black features received longer sentences.⁶⁷ They found the same results when comparing black defendants to each other.⁶⁸ This finding confirmed prior research that people unconsciously “use Afrocentric features to infer traits that are stereotypic of African Americans.”⁶⁹ As a result, even within races, people with more stereotypically black features are perceived as being more criminal.⁷⁰ In fact, one recent study found that subjects were more likely to shoot black individuals with more stereotypically “black” features than those with fewer stereotypically “black” features.⁷¹

In sum, features-based IB may result in defenders unconsciously being more accepting of harsher sentences for some clients than others. Because of their belief that a tougher sentence is appropriate or likely to be imposed, PDs may be less likely to fight for their client’s release on bail and spend time, effort, and scarce resources negotiating a better plea deal. Hence, features-based IB can affect a host of triage decisions that can disadvantage clients of all races who have stereotypically black features.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

As the previous discussion demonstrates, IBs may have pernicious effects on PD decisionmaking. However, while IBs are ubiquitous, they are also malleable.⁷² Consequently, defender offices may be able to implement strategies to help debias their attorneys. What follows are five recommendations that have the potential to mitigate or safeguard against the probable effects of IBs on defender judgments. However, given the constraints of this Essay, we only trace the broad outlines of each recommendation and do not address possible limitations.

67. *Id.* at 677.

68. *Id.*

69. *Id.*

70. *Id.* at 677-78; *see also* Eberhardt, *supra* note 12, at 877, 888 (demonstrating that more racially stereotypical black men are seen as more criminal).

71. Kimberly Barsamian Kahn & Paul G. Davies, *Differentially Dangerous? Phenotypic Racial Stereotypicality Increases Implicit Bias Among Ingroup and Outgroup Members*, 14 *GROUP PROCESSES & INTERGROUP RELATIONS* 569, 573 (2011).

72. Nilanjana Dasgupta & Anthony G. Greenwald, *On the Malleability of Automatic Attitudes: Combating Automatic Prejudice with Images of Admired and Disliked Individuals*, 81 *J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL.* 800 (2001).

A. Office Culture

A person's motivations⁷³ and ideological commitments may be important to reducing IBs.⁷⁴ People highly motivated to be nonprejudiced can reduce or eliminate IBs' effects on their behavior,⁷⁵ especially if they are internally motivated.⁷⁶ Additionally, high epistemic motivation—that is, requiring more information to feel comfortable making a decision—is associated with reduced reliance on stereotypes because it “increase[s] the tendency to engage in systematic information processing.”⁷⁷ Furthermore, people committed to egalitarian goals may be better able to control the activation of IBs.⁷⁸ This is good news for defender offices because many attorneys become defenders as a result of their commitments to equal justice.

Since motivations appear to affect implicit bias, public defender offices should reward these motivations and also consider them when making hiring decisions.⁷⁹ Some defender organizations are already attempting to transform the culture of their offices through “values-based” recruiting.⁸⁰ For instance, Gideon's Promise (formerly the Southern Public Defender Training Center)

-
73. See, e.g., Patricia G. Devine et al., *The Regulation of Explicit and Implicit Race Bias: The Role of Motivations To Respond Without Prejudice*, 82 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 835, 845 (2002).
 74. Brian A. Nosek et al., *Harvesting Implicit Group Attitudes and Beliefs from a Demonstration Web Site*, 6 GROUP DYNAMICS: THEORY, RESEARCH & PRACTICE 101, 106 (2002).
 75. Margo J. Monteith et al., *Suppression as a Stereotype Control Strategy*, 2 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. REV. 63, 73-75 (1998) (citing studies).
 76. E. Ashby Plant & Patricia G. Devine, *The Active Control of Prejudice: Unpacking the Intentions Guiding Control Efforts*, 96 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 640 (2009); see also Leslie R.M. Hausmann & Carey S. Ryan, *Effects of External and Internal Motivation To Control Prejudice on Implicit Prejudice: The Mediating Role of Efforts To Control Prejudiced Responses*, 26 BASIC & APPLIED SOC. PSYCHOL. 215, 222 (2004) (finding that those with internal motivations to be nonprejudiced show decreased implicit biases compared to those who are only externally motivated).
 77. Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff, *Social Psychology, Information Processing, and Plea Bargaining*, 91 MARQ. L. REV. 163, 174-76 (2007).
 78. See, e.g., Gordon B. Moskowitz et al., *Preconscious Control of Stereotype Activation Through Chronic Egalitarian Goals*, 77 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 167 (1999).
 79. See Robin Steinberg & David Feige, *Cultural Revolution: Transforming the Public Defender's Office*, 29 N.Y.U. REV. L. & SOC. CHANGE 123, 130-31 (2004).
 80. See, e.g., Jonathan A. Rapping, *You Can't Build on Shaky Ground: Laying the Foundation for Indigent Defense Reform Through Values-Based Recruitment, Training, and Mentoring*, 3 HARV. L. & POL'Y REV. 161, 175 (2009).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

screens new lawyers “for their receptiveness to client-centered values.”⁸¹ Paying attention to a new recruit’s client-centered values is important because the desire to develop relationships with and form positive impressions of members of stereotyped groups may help to reduce the activation of negative racial stereotypes.⁸²

Another important consideration during recruitment is the potential hire’s experiences navigating diverse environments. Research demonstrates that significant positive contact with individuals who do not fit our stereotypes about their group can reduce IB.⁸³ For instance, one study found that people reporting more positive personal contacts with blacks were less likely to have negative beliefs about their criminality and violence.⁸⁴ These considerations are job-related because any defender will almost certainly have negatively stereotyped people as clients. Furthermore, racial diversity among defenders themselves can be important to reducing IBs because it increases opportunities for positive interactions between racial group members of equal status, helps to create positive associations, and motivates people to make more accurate, nonstereotyped judgments.⁸⁵ All of these factors reduce implicit bias.

Finally, promoting people who demonstrate the desire to be fair and egalitarian will help to demonstrate the importance of these values within the office, thereby encouraging a culture that motivates attorneys to live up to such values. Group norms are among the most influential factors in changing attitudes of any kind.⁸⁶ Individuals operating within an organizational culture of tolerance tend to become more tolerant—particularly when influential others

-
81. Jonathan A. Rapping, *National Crisis, National Neglect: Realizing Justice Through Transformative Change*, 13 U. PA. J. L. & SOC. CHANGE 331, 351 (2010).
 82. See Brian S. Lowery, Curtis D. Hardin & Stacey Sinclair, *Social Influence Effects on Automatic Racial Prejudice*, 81 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 842 (2001).
 83. See, e.g., Shaki Asgari, Nilanjana Dasgupta & Nicole Gilbert Cote, *When Does Contact with Successful Ingroup Members Change Self-Stereotypes? A Longitudinal Study Comparing the Effect of Quantity vs. Quality of Contact with Successful Individuals*, 41 SOC. PSYCHOL. 203 (2010).
 84. B. Michelle Peruche & E. Ashby Plant, *The Correlates of Law Enforcement Officers’ Automatic and Controlled Race-Based Responses to Criminal Suspects*, 28 BASIC & APPLIED SOC. PSYCHOL. 193, 196 (2006).
 85. See Linda R. Tropp & Thomas F. Pettigrew, *Differential Relationships Between Intergroup Contact and Affective and Cognitive Dimensions of Prejudice*, 31 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 1145 (2005).
 86. See Deborah J. Terry & Michael A. Hogg, *Group Norms and the Attitude-Behavior Relationship: A Role for Group Identification*, 22 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 776 (1996).

such as supervisors perform that norm.⁸⁷ Conversely, individuals operating within an organizational culture that does not value egalitarianism will tend to become less tolerant.⁸⁸ Consequently, adopting office norms that demonstrate a commitment to equality will likely increase behavior in keeping with that norm. In sum, being deliberate about instilling a culture that strives for the provision of equitable public defense will not only better serve indigent clients but will also create an environment conducive to reducing the effects of IBs.

B. Objective Triage Standards

Offices should develop triage standards because the wholly discretionary decisionmaking that currently exists does nothing to curb IBs. While we do not advance specific standards in this short Essay, we suggest some criteria offices should utilize when developing them.

First, offices should not rank cases based upon the perceived possibility of factual innocence, as some have suggested.⁸⁹ Given the limited time defenders have to prioritize cases, innocence determinations can only be speculative hunches based upon inadequate information. Implicit biases thrive under these circumstances.

Second, triage standards ought to be based upon criteria that are objectively measurable, i.e., criteria that are not subject to interpretation. An example is to prioritize cases based upon custody status, with in-custody clients being given priority. Another is to prioritize cases randomly, or to reserve a subset (e.g., twenty-five percent) to be prioritized at random. Alternatively, defenders could prioritize cases based upon the speedy trial date. What these suggestions have in common is that they do not rely upon attorneys' subjective or idiosyncratic judgments. While imperfect, these proposals exemplify the types of objective

87. See, e.g., Elizabeth Levy Paluck & Hana Shepherd, *The Saliency of Social Referents: A Field Experiment on Collective Norms and Harassment Behavior in a School Social Network*, 103 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 899 (2012) (demonstrating the importance of collective norms to changing behavior); see also Susan T. Fiske, *Intent and Ordinary Bias: Unintended Thought and Social Motivation Create Casual Prejudice*, 17 SOC. JUST. RES. 117, 123 (2004) (discussing the motivation to conform to group norms); Gretchen B. Sechrist & Charles Stangor, *Perceived Consensus Influences Intergroup Behavior and Stereotype Accessibility*, 80 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 645, 649-51 (2001) (finding that peers can influence racial attitudes and that participants' implicit beliefs about African Americans became less stereotypic if they discovered that their peer group was more egalitarian than themselves)

88. Fiske, *supra* note 87, at 123.

89. See, e.g., Darryl K. Brown, *Rationing Criminal Defense Entitlements: An Argument from Institutional Design*, 104 COLUM. L. REV. 801 (2004); John B. Mitchell, *Redefining the Sixth Amendment*, 67 S. CAL. L. REV. 1215, 1276-78 (1994).

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

criteria offices can utilize to focus attorney decision-making away from client stereotypes.

Although objective standards are important, attorneys cannot avoid subjective decisionmaking altogether. For instance, even if offices decided that attorneys should focus their energies on cases where clients are in custody, or on cases with clients facing the stiffest potential punishment, attorneys would still have to make subjective judgments about how to prioritize cases within a given category. Unfortunately, IBs may affect these judgments. Thus, while triage standards are important, they are not the panacea for IBs. Rather, offices should also employ the additional strategies mentioned in this Part to reduce IBs' effects.

C. Accountability

Offices should also institute accountability mechanisms. Creating checklists that attorneys can use when evaluating their cases is one such mechanism.⁹⁰ Checklists can help reduce biased judgments because having predetermined criteria to guide decisionmaking can hinder people's unintentional tendency to change the criteria upon which their decisions are based in order to fit their preferred course of action.⁹¹

Additionally, offices should collect data about attorneys' decisions. This data will not only inform attorneys and offices about trends, but will also give offices the ability to monitor their attorneys' judgments. This data should include information about guilty pleas, sentencing outcomes, time spent on cases, and the number of meetings with clients broken down by race and initial charges. Once this data is collected, we recommend that PD offices use a simple accountability rule: defenders must be able to explain any racial disparities in how they allocated their resources. This rule can reduce IB because people exercise more care when they know their decisions are monitored and will have to be explained,⁹² and because thinking more carefully and deliberately helps to debias.⁹³

90. Hal R. Arkes & Victoria A. Shaffer, *Should We Use Decision Aids or Gut Feelings?*, in *HEURISTICS AND THE LAW* 411, 411-13 (Gerd Gigerenzer & Christoph Engel eds., 2004).

91. Carol Isaac, Barbara Lee & Molly Carnes, *Interventions that Affect Gender Bias in Hiring: A Systematic Review*, 84 *ACAD. MED.* 1440, 1444 (2009); Eric Luis Uhlmann & Geoffrey L. Cohen, *Constructed Criteria: Redefining Merit To Justify Discrimination*, 16 *PSYCHOL. SCI.* 474 (2005).

92. See, e.g., Fiske, *supra* note 87, at 123 (discussing the motivations that reduce implicit bias); Jennifer S. Lerner & Philip E. Tetlock, *Accounting for the Effects of Accountability*, 125

D. Awareness

Reducing IBs is more likely when individuals are aware of the potential for biased decisionmaking and are aware of the possibility of safeguarding against the influence of implicit bias.⁹⁴ Accordingly, we recommend that attorneys be taught about implicit biases and their probable effects on behaviors and judgments. This type of education is already occurring with judges, so it should be fairly simple to implement this suggestion.⁹⁵

Additionally, offices should consider requiring or encouraging defenders to take the Implicit Association Test (IAT), the most widely used mechanism for revealing the existence of implicit bias.⁹⁶ A recent study suggests that receiving feedback about IAT results can debias.⁹⁷ Because the sole purpose of any such requirement would be to inform defenders of their own probable biases, they must not be required to disclose the results. This suggestion will be easy to implement because the IAT is available online and provides immediate feedback. If individuals are made aware of the fact that IBs may affect their behaviors and judgments in ways they would not consciously endorse, they likely will be motivated to exercise more care in their decisionmaking and to engage in efforts to reduce the potential for bias.

E. Intentional Goals

Defender offices can also utilize a variety of techniques that, in research contexts, have allowed people to reduce the effects of IB on their behaviors and

PSYCHOL. BULL. 255, 267–70 (1999) (discussing how accountability can reduce cognitive biases).

93. Devine, *supra* note 41, at 15–16; Fiske, *supra* note 87, at 123–24.

94. Nilanjana Dasgupta & Jane G. Stout, *Contemporary Discrimination in the Lab and Field: Benefits and Obstacles of Full-Cycle Social Psychology*, 68 J. SOC. ISSUES 399, 407 (2012); Jack Glaser & Eric D. Knowles, *Implicit Motivation To Control Prejudice*, 44 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 164, 171 (2008).

95. Kang et al., *supra* note 11, at 1175.

96. For descriptions of how the Implicit Association Test works, see Anthony G. Greenwald & Linda Hamilton Krieger, *Implicit Bias: Scientific Foundations*, 94 CALIF. L. REV. 945, 952–67 (2006).

97. Gaëlle C. Pierre, *Confronting Implicit Bias Through Awareness: The Role of IAT Performance Feedback* 43–44 (2010) (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, New York University), <http://search.proquest.com/docview/305217552?accountid=8285>.

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

judgments. These include repeated practice denouncing stereotypes,⁹⁸ affirming counterstereotypes,⁹⁹ and using mental imagery.¹⁰⁰ Even thinking about ourselves as being less objective than we imagine ourselves to be can reduce the effects of IBs.¹⁰¹

One successful technique involves people developing intentional and specific plans for what they will think or do in situations likely to activate IBs. These are “consciously formed *if-then* plans that indicate the specific cognitive or behavioral response that is to be made at a specific time and place.”¹⁰² The “if *x*, then *y*” formulation is critical because simpler goals such as “I will not use stereotypes in my judgments” are generally ineffective.¹⁰³

In a recent study demonstrating the efficacy of this technique, researchers had subjects watch a video that contained photographs of either black men or white men posed in front of different backgrounds and holding either guns or crime-irrelevant objects such as cell phones.¹⁰⁴ Participants were asked to determine as quickly as possible whether or not the men were armed by pressing buttons labeled “shoot” or “don’t shoot.” Similar “shooter-bias” studies typically demonstrate that subjects mistakenly shoot unarmed blacks more often than unarmed whites because of IB. They also shoot armed targets more quickly when they are black as opposed to white.¹⁰⁵ In this particular study, however, researchers found that when subjects formed a specific,

-
98. Kerry Kawakami et al., *Just Say No (to Stereotyping): Effects of Training in the Negation of Stereotypic Associations on Stereotype Activation*, 78 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 871 (2000). The effect only lasted for twenty-four hours.
99. Nilanjana Dasgupta, *Mechanisms Underlying the Malleability of Implicit Prejudice and Stereotypes: The Role of Automaticity and Cognitive Control*, in HANDBOOK OF PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING, AND DISCRIMINATION 267, 279 (Todd D. Nelson ed. 2009).
100. Irene V. Blair, Jennifer E. Ma & Alison P. Lenton, *Imagining Stereotypes Away: The Moderation of Implicit Stereotypes Through Mental Imagery*, 81 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 828 (2001).
101. Eric Luis Uhlmann & Geoffrey L. Cohen, “*I Think It, Therefore It’s True*”: *Effects of Self-Perceived Objectivity on Hiring Discrimination*, 104 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 207, 210-11 (2007).
102. Saaid A. Mendoza, Peter M. Gollwitzer & David M. Amodio, *Reducing the Expression of Implicit Stereotypes: Reflexive Control Through Implementation Intentions*, 36 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 512, 513 (2010).
103. *See id.*
104. *Id.* at 515.
105. *See, e.g.,* Joshua Correll et al., *The Influence of Stereotypes on Decisions To Shoot*, 37 EUR. J. SOC. PSYCHOL. 1102 (2007).

goal-directed plan to ignore race before beginning the task, they were able to reduce shooter bias.¹⁰⁶

Of course, in PD offices, it may not be advisable to tell attorneys to ignore the client's race, especially because in criminal justice contexts, IBs are less likely to be expressed when race is made explicit.¹⁰⁷ Fortunately, research demonstrates that these "if-then" plans are effective even when social categories are salient. For instance, in a recent study published in 2012, researchers found that when subjects formed a specific plan to associate Muslims with peace as opposed to terrorism, they showed reduced IB.¹⁰⁸ These researchers also found that "if-then" goal-directed thinking can reduce IBs in real-world decisionmakers, and that this reduction can be sustained over time.¹⁰⁹

These studies suggest an intriguing strategy for reducing IBs' effects on defender decisionmaking. For instance, when reviewing the discovery in a new case, attorneys could form a specific plan to focus on the weaknesses of the state's case or to think of the client as innocent. In other words, defenders might be asked to form the following specific intention: "If my client is black, then I will think 'innocent' when reviewing the discovery." While it may be difficult to believe that such a simple intervention would reduce the effects of implicit bias, its simplicity is similar to the specific intention that reduced the effects of shooter bias.¹¹⁰ The utility of this strategy has not been tested in the public defense context, but, in light of its success across numerous studies in other contexts, there is reason for optimism.

¹⁰⁶. Mendoza, Gollwitzer & Amodio, *supra* note 102, at 516.

¹⁰⁷. See, e.g., Samuel R. Sommers & Phoebe C. Ellsworth, *White Juror Bias: An Investigation of Prejudice Against Black Defendants in the American Courtroom*, 7 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'Y & L. 201 (2001).

¹⁰⁸. Thomas L. Webb, Paschal Sheeran & John Pepper, *Gaining Control over Responses to Implicit Attitude Tests: Implementation Intentions Engender Fast Responses on Attitude-Incongruent Trials*, 51 BRIT. J. SOC. PSYCHOL. 13, 22-24 (2012).

¹⁰⁹. *Id.*

¹¹⁰. See Mendoza, Gollwitzer & Amodio, *supra* note 102, at 515. The researchers provided subjects with an instruction that read, "You should be careful not to let other features of the targets affect the way you respond. In order to help you achieve this, research has shown it to be helpful for you to adopt the following strategy: *If I see a person, then I will ignore his race!*" *Id.* Subjects who formed this specific intention made fewer errors than subjects in the control group who were not given the additional instruction.

IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS IN PUBLIC DEFENDER TRIAGE

CONCLUSION

Despite the fact that many public defenders are committed to zealous and effective advocacy, there is abundant reason for concern that implicit racial biases may affect their decisions. By highlighting the effects of implicit bias, we do not suggest that other structural inequities in the provision of indigent defense are unimportant. Rather, we seek to supplement existing critiques with our observations. Furthermore, some PDs might believe that their experiences making difficult resource allocation decisions immunize their intuitive, gut-driven triage judgments from the effects of IBs. However, IBs are likely to have their most damaging effects precisely when individuals fail to question their gut instincts. Moreover, without data collection, it is simply impossible to know whether similarly situated clients are being treated alike.

As public defenders seek to provide the best legal representation possible for indigent clients in order to fulfill *Gideon's* promise, it is crucial not only that they remain open to the possibility that they are being influenced by IBs, but also that they be given the full array of tools necessary to protect the values of equality and fairness on which the legitimacy of our criminal justice system rests. Thus, we hope that public defenders will engage in data collection and create partnerships with social psychologists to determine when IBs are likely to influence defenders' judgments and to develop specific defender-oriented approaches for reducing IBs' effects.¹¹¹ Indigent clients deserve no less.

m. Dasgupta & Stout, *supra* note 94 (suggesting the importance of field research to help translate lab findings into real-world contexts).